The determination of antimicrobial activity of three honey impregnated wound dressings by challenge test with EMRSA-15
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Abstract

Background: Honey has recently re-emerged in antibacterial therapy as an alternative to antibiotics, where resistance has become an issue. There are increasing numbers of honey based products available in the UK for use in wound care.

Purpose: To investigate the rate of inhibition of EMRSA-15 in direct contact with three wound dressings containing honey.

Method: Three honey impregnated dressings Activon® Tulle (Advancis Medical), Medihoney (Derma Sciences inc) and Mefilan (Unomedical) were investigated. An overnight broth culture of EMRSA-15 NCTC 13142 was harvested to a final optical density of 0.5 at 550nm in sterile quarter strength Ringers solution and 200ul of this suspension (1 x 102 cfu) was inoculated onto 2.5cm x 2.5cm samples of each dressing and incubated at 37°C. Samples were tested at 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 240, 360, 1440 minutes. Dressings were vortexed in 9ml of Maximum recovery diluent (Oxoid) to release bacterial cells and the total viable cell count was determined using Miles Misra surface drop count.

Results: The decimal log reductions after 4 hours were 4.5, 4.2 and 1.6 for Activon® Tulle, Medihoney and Mefilan respectively. The Activon® Tulle and Medihoney reduced total number of culturable cells to undetectable levels after 360 minutes, but viable cells remained with Mefilan at this time.

Conclusion: This study suggests that honey impregnated dressing vary in their efficacy. This probably reflects their differing honeys and respective formulation. The rate of kill under test conditions used is faster with the Activon® Tulle followed by the Medihoney and Mefilan. This could be due to a slower release of honey from the alginate and hydrogel compared to the honey impregnated viscose dressing.

Introduction

Honey has been recognised since ancient times as a substance of medical importance used to clear infections. Due to continuing emergence of antibiotic resistance it is important to find alternative therapies.

Honey is a complex substance containing hundreds of components and its antimicrobial activity has been shown to be due to:

- high osmolarity
- hydrogen peroxide
- acidity
- phytochemical components

Inhibition of wound pathogens, especially MRSA, by Manuka honey has demonstrated that both antibiotic resistant and sensitive strains were susceptible in suspension tests, but inhibition by honey-containing dressings has not been tested. Some wound dressings are impregnated with Manuka honey, but the type of honey is not specified in all dressings.

In vitro tests have been designed to measure the antimicrobial potential of dressings against target bacteria. These tests were adapted for this study.

Aim

To investigate the rate of inhibition of EMRSA-15 in a challenge test with 3 wound dressings containing honey.

Methods

EMRSA-15 starter culture was grown at 37°C overnight and a loopful inoculated into 25ml nutrient broth (Oxoid) and incubated overnight at 37°C in a shaking water bath at 120rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3000g for 10 minutes and re-suspended in sterile, quarter strength Ringers to an optical density of 0.5 at 550nm with a Cecil spectrophotometer. Cells were tested within ten minutes of preparation and total viable counts were obtained at the start of each experiment.

Into sterile petri dishes 3 layers of sterile gauze approximately 4cm x 4cm were placed, onto these 2cm x 2cm pieces of each of the dressings being tested (Activon® Tulle, Medihoney and Mefilan) were placed. Dressings were inoculated at time 0 with 200 ul EMRSA-15 and spread evenly using a sterile spreader, this was time 0. The number of viable cells at each time point was determined by aseptically removing the dressing from the petri dish into 9ml sterile maximum recovery diluent (Oxoid). This was vortexed for 15 seconds before total viable counts were done.

Serial decimal dilution was prepared in sterile maximum recovery diluent and plated onto nutrient agar (Oxoid) following the Miles and Misra method and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours before colonies were counted and total viable counts for surviving bacteria on each dressing were calculated. The experiment was performed on three separate occasions.

Results

- The study shows that the three types of dressing all had differing efficacies against EMRSA-15.
- The Activon® Tulle appears to be the most effective dressing against EMRSA-15, followed by Medihoney dressing with the Mefilan having a much reduced effect compared to the first two dressings.
- The decimal log reductions after 4 hours were 4.5, 4.2 and 1.6 for Activon® Tulle, Medihoney and Mefilan respectively.
- The Activon® Tulle and Medihoney reduced total number of culturable cells to undetectable levels after 360 minutes, but viable cells remained with Mefilan dressing at this time.

Discussion

Wounds occur as a result of illness, accidents and operations in everyday life. There are many types of wound dressing available as different types and formulations aimed at controlling or reducing wound infection. In recent years numerous honey based wound care products have become readily available on the market.
It is important that these products are thoroughly tested to compare efficacy of the product against a wide range of wound infecting pathogens. These studies will aid medical practitioners in making informed decisions on the correct product to use for each clinical case.

This preliminary study has shown that the three honey impregnated dressings used had differing efficacy against EMRSA-15, a common wound infecting pathogen. The differences seen in the efficacy of the dressings used is probably due to a number of factors. The concentration of honey within each type of dressing differs, as does the material used for impregnation. This study shows that in vitro at least the gauze type wound dressing has a higher efficacy than a hydrogel impregnated wound dressing. The type of honey used in each dressing would also have an effect on the efficacy of the dressing.

There is a need for more work to be done in vitro as well as in randomised controlled clinical trials before efficacy could be truly compared.

**Future work**

Future work will involve determination of antimicrobial activity of dressings (Leptospermum honey impregnated calcium alginate, Leptospermum honey impregnated tulle, buckwheat honey impregnated mesh and unspecified honey impregnated mesh) by bioassay.

For future testing of the efficacy of honey impregnated dressings 3 species of bacteria will be used; Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 6571, EMRSA-15 NCTC 13142 and a clinical isolate of Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Also further studies using mixed cultures of bacteria and biofilms might more accurately represent a wound environment.
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